

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/880,100 | 06/14/2001 | Andreas Birkner | 016790-0407 | 3827 |
| 7: | 590 10/10/2002 | | | |
| Richard L. Schwaab | | | EXAMINER | |
| FOLEY & LARDNER Washington Harbour | | | BRATLIE, STEVEN A | |
| 3000 K Street, N.W., Suite 500 Washington, DC 20007-5109 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| , | | | 3652 | |
| | | DATE MAIL ED: 10/10/2002 | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Office Action Summary ---The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address---**Period for Response** A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET TO EXPIRE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a response be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a response within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication . - Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). **Status** ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on _ ☐ This action is FINAL. ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 O.G. 213. **Disposition of Claims** is/are pending in the application. Claim(s) __ Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. □ Claim(s). _____is/are allowed. _____ is/are rejected. is/are objected to. □ Claim(s) ☐ Claim(s)are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The proposed drawing correction, filed on 6/13/02 is □ approved □ disapproved. is/are objected to by the Examiner. The drawing(s) filed on_ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) □ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:_ Attachment(s) (Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 □ Other _

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No.

Application/Control Number: 09/880,100

Art Unit: 3652

- 1. 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, requires the specification to be written in "full, clear, concise, and exact terms." The specification is replete with terms which are not clear, concise and exact. The specification should be revised carefully in order to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Examples of some unclear, inexact or verbose terms used in the specification are: "by way of the features of claim 1 or claim 5", "Parts List" page 14 should be cancelled.
- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 4. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schertler in view of Babbs, et al. Schertler discloses a substantially similar type of modular system in Figure 16. It is apparent that these modular units are connected by kinematic couplings, note Fig. 2. Schertler does not appear to disclose conventional load locks and interface. Such structure is shown in Figs. 8-12 of Babbs, et al. Note col. 9 line 52 col. 10 line 13 of Babbs, et al. It would have been obvious to a mechanic with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute such structure. The motivation is the known substitution of equivalents.

Application/Control Number: 09/880,100

Art Unit: 3652

- 5. The remaining references are cited to show similar modular units.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Bratlie whose telephone number is (703) 308-2669. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:30 to 5:00. Friday is the examiner's day off.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

Bratlie/kl October 3, 2002

Steven a Brathe

STEVEN A. BRATLIE PRIMARY EXAMINER